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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=297 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.004 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.038$
$w R$ factor $=0.111$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=11.4$

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## Anhydrous quinolinium 2,4,6-trinitrobenzenesulfonate

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}^{-}$, forms a simple dimer structure through a single $\mathrm{N}^{+}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond involving a sulfonate O atom of the cation. Some cationcation $\pi-\pi$ interactions are also present.

## Comment

Quinoline ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=4.8$ ) can be readily protonated by sulfonic acids as well as by many carboxylic acids. The single $\mathrm{N}^{+}-\mathrm{H}$ donor group of the resultant quinolinium cation is not a particularly efficient one for molecular assembly via hydrogen bonding. However, cation-cation or cation-anion $\pi-\pi$ associations together with aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions are often present in the structures of quinolinium compounds with aromatic carboxylic acids that give stable crystalline materials, e.g. in the anhydrous salt with 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (Smith et al., 2006). With aromatic sulfonic acids, the presence of the additional O acceptor atom of the sulfonate group usually results in the incorporation of donor-rich solvent molecules such as water in the crystal structure, e.g. quinolinium 5sulfosalicylate trihydrate (Smith et al., 2004). Therefore, the formation of the title compound, (I), from the $1: 1$ stoichiometric reaction of quinoline with picrylsulfonic acid in $50 \%$ propan-2-ol-water was unusual and its structure is reported here.

(I)

In compound (I) (Fig. 1), the quinolinium H atom has a single interaction with a sulfonate- O acceptor of the anion $\left[\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 B^{\mathrm{i}}=2.725\right.$ (3) $\AA$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}=162(2)^{\circ}$; symmetry code: (i) $-x+1,-y,-z+1]$, giving a simple hydrogen-bonded heterodimer. The quinolinium cations form stacks through alternating inversion-related molecules in the unit cell (Fig. 2) [ring centroid separation $=3.666$ (2) $\AA$; interring dihedral angle $=0^{\circ}$ ]. The second and third sulfonate O atoms and the six nitro-O atoms are unassociated except for minor weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions $[\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 B=$ $3.342(4) \AA, \quad \mathrm{C} 81-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 A^{\mathrm{i}}=3.466(3) \AA$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 A^{\mathrm{ii}}=3.241$ (4) $\AA$; symmetry code: (ii) $\left.x+1, y, z\right]$. An



Figure 1
The asymmetric unit of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30\% probability level.


Figure 2
A perspective view of the packing in the unit cell of (I), viewed approximately down the $a$-axis direction, showing hydrogen-bonded associations as dashed lines. [Symmetry code (i): $-x+1,-y,-z+1$.]
unusually short non-bonding intermolecular sulfonate$\mathrm{O} \cdots$ nitro-N interaction is also present $\left[\mathrm{O} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{~N} 4^{\mathrm{iii}}=\right.$ 2.840 (3) $\AA$; symmetry code: (iii) $-x+1, y, z]$.

The ortho-related nitro groups of the picrylsulfonate anions are significantly rotated out of the plane of the benzene ring [torsion angles $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 2 A=-61.6(3)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{C} 1-$ $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{N} 6-\mathrm{O} 6 B=61.6(3)^{\circ}$ ] compared with the essentially coplanar para-related group $[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{O} 4 B=$ $\left.-176.8(3)^{\circ}\right]$.

## Experimental

The title compound was synthesized by heating together 2,4,6trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (picrylsulfonic acid) ( 1 mmol ) and quinoline ( 1 mmol ) in $50 \%$ propan-2-ol-water ( 50 ml ) under reflux for 10 min . After concentration to ca 30 ml , partial room-temperature evaporation of the hot-filtered solution gave yellow crystal plates (m.p. 532.7-533.9 K).

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}^{-}$
$M_{r}=422.33$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=8.1070$ (19) $\AA$
$b=9.486(2) \AA$
$c=12.581$ (2) A
$\alpha=79.41$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$
$\beta=82.347$ (16) ${ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma=64.909(16)^{\circ}$

## Data collection

Rigaku AFC $7 R$ diffractometer $\omega / 2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan TEXSAN for Windows (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1999)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.90, T_{\text {max }}=0.97$
3552 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.038$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.111$
$S=0.95$
3026 reflections
266 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=859.6(3) \AA^{3} \\
& Z=2 \\
& D_{x}=1.632 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation }^{2} \\
& \mu=0.25 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=297(2) \mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Plate, yellow } \\
& 0.36 \times 0.28 \times 0.11 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

> 3026 independent reflections 1992 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
> $R_{\text {int }}=0.017$
> $\theta_{\max }=25.0^{\circ}$
> 3 standard reflections $\quad$ frequency: 150 min $\quad$ intensity decay: $0.5 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0556 P)^{2}\right. \\
& +0.1982 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.18 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.30 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The single quinolinium H atom was located by difference methods and its positional and isotropic displacement parameters were refined [refined $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=0.89(3) \AA$ ]. The aromatic ring H atoms were included in the refinement in calculated positions, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.95 \AA$, and treated using a riding-model approximation, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ $=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$.

Data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1999); cell refinement: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software; data reduction: TEXSAN for Windows (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON.
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